



NEW  
 REVISION

**OPERATING COMMITTEE REQUEST FOR DECISION**

**REQUEST NAME: CVPS**

REQUESTOR AGREES TO PAY FOR COSTS INCURRED TO CORRECT ISSUE  YES  
 NO

METER KEYS OR ASSETS AFFECTED None

**DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE:**

IGAP is treated inconsistently by VELCO in VTA billing. VTA IGAP language is minimal and ambiguous. No written policy exists that specifies how VELCO shall calculate IGAP for all VT participant generation that could qualify. Issues include: units connected to VT participant subtransmission systems that are physically located in NY or NH, units electrically connected to PSNH distribution system that are electrically connected to CVPS subtransmission or distribution system, units installed after 7/1/90 which do not receive 100% credit, meaning of associated load, associated load for units located in NH, McNeil and Berlin GT that may not have associated load depending on definition of associated load.

**DESIRED OUTCOME:**

A clear understanding by all customers and Velco how time will be billed IGAP based on a clear, written policy provided to all VT participants

**DOCUMENTATION ATTACHED:**  YES  NO **IF YES, LIST DOCUMENTS BELOW**

<b>Financial Impacts</b>		<b>COMMENTS / NOTES INCLUDING ENTITIES INVOLVED:</b>	
	Totals	\$ impact based on final IGAP policy made and has not been completed.	
Projected total dollar impact		Impact will occur to multiple participants; IGAP changes will impact PDP which impacts VTA costs; documents required include System Operating Diagrams and other One-Line System Diagrams	
Impact to non-requesting entities		Data is in numerous spreadsheets, two per month, rather than a database, making analysis more difficult.	
Basis of Allocation (RTALO, PDP, ETC.)			
SUBMITTED BY	DATE	APPROVED BY	DATE
Bob Amelang	1/12/2009	OPERATING COMMITTEE CHAIRPERSON	
APPROVED BY	DATE	APPROVED BY	DATE
SUBMITTING COMPANY'S COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE			